

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,700	03/29/2004	Kazuyuki Kiuchi	Q80631	9045	
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 03/13/200 55.550	8	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE. NW	FIGUEROA, JOHN J			
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/810,700	KIUCHI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John J. Figueroa	1796		

		John J. Figueroa	1796	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 14 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavities (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) b)	The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: (1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
have l under set for may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1. tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AME	NDMENTS			
3. 🗌	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appea; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a (NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4.	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. T				,
6.			timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. 🗖	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. 🛭	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>2/14/200</u>	<u>08</u>	
13 F	1 Other:			

/Randy Gulakowski/ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Application No.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments concerning the ODP rejection over USPN 7.214.424 are not persuasive. The section cited by Applicant in the arguments (col. 7, lines 38-47) actually teaches that the substrate can contain a coat layer for imparting peelability and thus facilitating peelability between the substrate and an adjacent layer. As to the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection over EP 1,154,002 A1 to Kluchl, as previously stated in item 11 of the Final Rejection, Applicant has not shown as to why the pas layer in Kluchi would not be "Peelable" from the substrate in the presence of, e.g., large amounts of heat. Examiner also notes that the IDS of 2/14/08 has been considered and that the WO, CN and EP references appear to be relevant but deemed cummulative at this time.